

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,237	01/23/2004	Bruce A. Rogers	ROG030.10005	8491
JOHN F. LETCHFORD ARCHER & GREINER, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU	
ONE CENENT HADDONFIE	NIAL SQUARE LD. NJ 08033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3732	
	•	,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,237	ROGERS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Robyn Doan	3732				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo	or Reply	•	·				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Au</u>	ugust 2007.					
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	:x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)	4) Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 11-15 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
• •	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
٥/١	are subject to restriction and of	r cicolion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
-	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		A) [[] [-4	(/DTO 412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate				
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Amendment filed 8/2/2207 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1 and 3 have been amended. Claim 2 has been canceled. Limitations of amended claims have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record, therefore, claims 1, 3-7 and 11-15 are rejected under the same ground rejections as set forth in the office action mailed 4/24/2007.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-6, 11 and 13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-6 and 9 of

copending Application No. 10/763,846. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the slip friction mechanism of the instant application vs. the non-slip friction mechanism of the copending application. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the claims of the instant application with the non-slip friction mechanism of the copending application, since these terms are very broad it depends on each individual using it and also since an ordinary user force has not been defined, these terms are held to be merely intended use.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilde (United Sates Patent No. 870,330).

Art Unit: 3732

Wilde discloses a device comprising a first body member **a** and a second body member **b**. The first and second body members include gripping portions 7,11 adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 19 pivotally connect the body members. The device further includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes an irregular first surface 10 associated with the first body member, an irregular second surface 15 associated with the second body member, and a compression spring 18 for maintaining contact between the first and second surfaces. The irregular surfaces include toothed surfaces.

Claims 1, 3-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheehan (United Sates Patent No. 3,546,750).

Sheehan discloses a device comprising a first body member 22 and a second body member 24. The first and second body members include gripping portions adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 38 pivotally connect the body members. The device further includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes an irregular first surface 32 associated with the first body member, an irregular second surface 35 associated with the second body member, and a biasing mechanism 38 for maintaining

Art Unit: 3732

contact between the first and second surfaces. The irregular surfaces include toothed surfaces. One of the surfaces is provided on an insert (see figures 4 and 7).

Claims 1, 3 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rizzuto (WO 02/058504).

Rizzuto discloses a device comprising a first body member and a second body member. The first and second body members include gripping portions 18 adapted to be squeezed by a user. Hinge means 10 pivotally connect the body members. The device further includes adjustment means coaxially arranged with respect to the hinge means for causing the gripping portions to remain at a point at which the gripping portions are squeezed together by a user. The adjustment means comprises a slip friction mechanism. The slip friction mechanism includes a first surface 23 associated with the first body member, a second surface 25 associated with the second body member, and a spring 26 for maintaining contact between the first and second surfaces. The spring is a torsion spring connected to each of the first and second body members. The device further includes handle portions 30,32 on each of the first and second body members.

## Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument based upon the age of the references, contentions that the reference patents are old are not impressive absent a showing that the art tried and failed to solve the same problem notwithstanding its presumed

Art Unit: 3732

knowledge of the references. See *In re Wright*, 569 F.2d 1124, 193 USPQ 332 (CCPA 1977).

In response to applicant's argument that Wilde is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Wilde has shown all the claimed structures, the intended use is not given patentable weight in an article claim.

Applicant has also argued that Sheehan is a one way gripping device therefore it prevents reverse rotation of the arms and as such it is the antithesis of the slip friction mechanism, whereas the present invention does permit reverse rotation. It is noted that all the claimed structures have been shown, the intended use is not given patentable weight in an article claim.

In response to applicant's argument that Rizzuto's hair gripping portions 18 are not adapted to be squeezed by a user, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3732

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robyn Doan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

rkd October 22, 2007